

## Results of Criticizing

On inquire of the Author the Editorial Board informs about its decision to accept the article for publication. The decisions could be as following: (1) to recommend the article for publishing in the author's variant, (2) to recommend for publishing after the correction, accepting the remarks and proposals of the reviewer, (3) necessary additional reviewing and appointment of other reviewer after correction of the article taking into consideration the remarks and proposals, which were done by the reviewer, (4) reject the article.

1. If in a review there are remarks with a suggestion of the necessity to finish off the article (to bring some corrections), the article is sent to the author for its improvement and presentation of the renewed variant, or correct and sure refutation of the noted defects, or substantiated motivation of those authorial positions which are perceived as inappropriate and inadvisable. To the renewed article an author adds taking into account an idea of the reviewer a letter, which contains answers for all remarks and explanations to made alterations. The improved variant of the article repeatedly gets to the reviewer for the acceptance of the repeated decision and in case of consent with the author of preparation of the explained conclusion about possibility of publication. The date of acceptance of the article to printing is considered the date of receipt by release of a positive conclusion of the reviewer (or decision of editorial college) in relation to justification of publication of the article in this scientific edition.
2. The reasons of the repeated reviewing could be: declared by the reviewer insufficient qualification in questions, which are viewed in the scientific article; insufficient high level of the primary expert conclusion; sharp statements of discussions given in the scientific article.
3. In case of occurring of debatable situations and in case of disagreement of author with the opinion of a reviewer the article is examined on meeting of working group of editorial college, which organizes the additional or repeated criticizing by other specialist. An editorial college reserves a right on the rejection of the articles in case of insolvency of author to refute the arguments or his unwillingness to take into account their suggestions and remarks expressed by reviewers. On call of the first reviewer the editorial college can give the article to other reviewer with the obligatory observance of principles of double-blind criticizing.
4. A final decision in relation to possibility of publication of the article which subject to the additional or repeated criticizing is accepted by the Editor-in-Chief (or, on his errand – member of editorial college), and if necessary – meeting of editorial college. Upon receipt decision about admitting of the article to publication the responsible secretary informs about it his author and reports the expected term of publication producing.

5. In case of receipt of positive decision about expedience of publication of the repeatedly reviewed article the last enters the editorial portfolio of Collection and in order of its turn and taking into account its actuality subjects to publication. On occasion (in decision of editor-in-chief) the article is published extraordinarily in the nearest producing of Collection.
6. An editorial board reserves a right for the scientific and literary editing of the maintenance of article in a concordance with an author. The insignificant corrections of lexico-semantic, punctuation-grammatical, lingually-stylistic or formally-technical character, which do not influence on the quality of maintenance of the article, are brought in by a typotext without a concordance with an author. At the necessity of finding out of separate questions which arose up, or in connection with the desire of an author of manuscript the last return to him as a model for approval.
7. On demand of an author the Release gives to him a certificate about the acceptance of the article to publication over the signature of Editor-in-Chief.
8. The date of the article acceptance for publishing is the date when the Editorial Board decides to publish the article in one or the other issue of the scientific edition.